

---

# On the Proof of the Law of the Unconscious Statistician

---

Yuchao Li

September 25, 2018

## 1 PROBLEM STATEMENT

Probability space is given as  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$  with elementary outcome  $\omega$ . A random variable (r.v.) defined on the probability space is  $X$ . Then with a Borel function  $g: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , we know  $g(X)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_X$ -measurable and therefore a r.v. as well. The Law of the Unconscious Statistician (LOTUS) gives the following result

$$E[g(X)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dF_X(x). \quad (1.1)$$

By [Hand Note 2], we know the left-hand side is defined as Lebesgue integral while the right-hand side is defined as Lebesgue-Stieltje integral. Prove Eq. (1.1).

## 2 ELABORATION

Denote  $Y = g(X)$ , then obviously we have  $E[Y] = E[g(X)]$ . We prove the cases when  $g(x) \geq 0$ , namely  $Y \geq 0$ . P317 [1] provides the outline of the proof, here we fill in more details.

1. First, when  $g$  is simple,  $Y$  would be a simple r.v., [2] provides the proof for this case, namely for any simple  $g_m$ , the following equation

$$E[g_m(X(\omega))] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_m(x) dF_X(x) \quad (\text{Lebesgue-Stieltjes}) \quad (2.1)$$

holds. Notice that by saying  $g_m$  is simple, we mean that there are Borel sets  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m$  that are a partition of  $\mathbf{R}$ , namely  $\cup_{k=1}^m G_k = \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$g_m(x) = g_k, \text{ if } x \in G_k \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, m. \quad (2.2)$$

2. For some non negative  $g$ , since  $Y = g(X)$  is a r.v., then its expectation is defined as a Lebesgue integral, refer to [Hand Note 2] and [2, 3, 4] for definition. Then to proof LOTUS is to show that

$$\text{(Lebesgue)} \int_{\Omega} Y(\omega) d\mathbf{P}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dF_X(x) \text{ (Lebesgue-Stieltjes)} \quad (2.3)$$

provided that  $Y(\omega) = g(X(\omega))$ . Again, the left hand side is by definition given as

$$\text{(Lebesgue)} \int_{\Omega} Y(\omega) d\mathbf{P}(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[Y_n(\omega)] \quad (2.4)$$

where  $Y_n$  is given as

$$Y_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} \frac{k-1}{2^n}, & \frac{k-1}{2^n} \leq Y(\omega) < \frac{k}{2^n}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n2^n \\ n, & Y(\omega) \geq n. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Plugging in  $Y(\omega) = g(X(\omega))$ , we have

$$Y_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} \frac{k-1}{2^n}, & \frac{k-1}{2^n} \leq g(X(\omega)) < \frac{k}{2^n}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n2^n \\ n, & g(X(\omega)) \geq n. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

Denote  $f_n : \mathbf{R}_+ \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  as

$$f_n(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{k-1}{2^n}, & \frac{k-1}{2^n} \leq z < \frac{k}{2^n}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n2^n, \\ n, & z \geq n. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

We know  $f_n$  is Borel function for any fixed  $n$ . Then we define  $g_n$  as

$$g_n(x) = f_n(g(x)). \quad (2.8)$$

It can be shown that due to  $f_n$  being simple and  $g$  Borel,  $g_n$  is a simple function, fulfilling the condition given in Eq. (2.2). What's more,  $g_n(x) \uparrow g(x)$  pointwise. Then, we have

$$g_n(X(\omega)) = \begin{cases} \frac{k-1}{2^n}, & \frac{k-1}{2^n} \leq g(X(\omega)) < \frac{k}{2^n}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n2^n \\ n, & g(X(\omega)) \geq n. \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

Compare Eqs. (2.6) and (2.9), we see that  $Y_n(\omega) = g_n(X(\omega))$ . Substituting  $Y_n(\omega)$  with  $g_n(X(\omega))$  in Eq. (2.4), we have

$$\text{(Lebesgue)} \int_{\Omega} Y(\omega) d\mathbf{P}(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[g_n(X(\omega))]. \quad (2.10)$$

The first part has shown for any simple  $g_n$ , we have  $E[g_n(X(\omega))] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_n(x) dF_X(x)$ , plug it into Eq. (2.10), we have

$$\text{(Lebesgue)} \int_{\Omega} Y(\omega) d\mathbf{P}(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_n(x) dF_X(x) \quad (2.11)$$

where for each  $n$ ,  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_n(x) dF_X(x)$  is Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral.

3. Recall the definition of Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral, given as Eq. (2.9) of [Hand Note 2], which is

$$\text{(Lebesgue-Stieltjes)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dF_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(Y(r)) d\tilde{\mathbf{P}}(r) \text{ (Lebesgue)}. \quad (2.12)$$

where  $Y(r) = r$  is the canonical r.v. of  $X$  defined on  $(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$  is the probability measure of the probability space  $(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B}, \tilde{\mathbf{P}})$ , which is induced by the canonical r.v. of  $X$ , refer to Steps 3 and 4 of [Hand Note 2] for more details. Since for each  $n$ ,  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_n(x) dF_X(x)$  is Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral, then by Eq. (2.12), we have

$$\text{(Lebesgue-Stieltjes)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_n(x) dF_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_n(r) d\tilde{\mathbf{P}}(r) \text{ (Lebesgue)}. \quad (2.13)$$

Similarly, for  $g$ , we have

$$\text{(Lebesgue-Stieltjes)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dF_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(r) d\tilde{\mathbf{P}}(r) \text{ (Lebesgue)}. \quad (2.14)$$

Recall that  $g_n \uparrow g$  point-wise and  $g_n$  is defined by Eq. (2.8), due to Lemma 2.1, P. 34, and Definition 2.5 (Lebesgue integral), P. 35 in [3], we know by definition, the Lebesgue integral of a non-simple  $g$  is given as

$$\text{(Lebesgue)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(r) d\tilde{\mathbf{P}}(r) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_n(r) d\tilde{\mathbf{P}}(r) \quad (2.15)$$

where the right-hand side is limit of Lebesgue integrals. Due to Eq. (2.13), we have

$$\text{(Lebesgue)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(r) d\tilde{\mathbf{P}}(r) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_n(x) dF_X(x) \quad (2.16)$$

where the right-hand side now is the limit of Lebesgue-Stieltjes integrals.

Substitute  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_n(x) dF_X(x)$  due to Eq. (2.14) and substitute  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(r) d\tilde{\mathbf{P}}(r)$  due to Eq. (2.11), and we can rewrite Eq. (2.16) as

$$\text{(Lebesgue)} \int_{\Omega} Y(\omega) d\mathbf{P}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_n(x) dF_X(x) \text{ (Lebesgue-Stieltjes)}$$

which is our goal Eq. (2.3). This concludes the proof.

**Remark.** Above discussion has established the case when  $g$  is nonnegative. For general case, we define  $E[g(X)] = E[g^+(X)] - E[g^-(X)]$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Eugene Wong and Bruce Hajek, *Stochastic processes in engineering systems*, Springer Science & Business Media, 1985.
- [2] Timo Koski, *Lecture notes: Probability and random processes at KTH*, 2017.
- [3] Allan Gut, *Probability: A graduate course*, 2nd Edition, Springer & Verlag, 2012.
- [4] Bruce Hajek, *Random processes for engineers*, Cambridge University Press, 2015.